

Implementation of Energy Management System with Fuzzy Control for DC Microgrid Systems

P. VINODHKUMAR¹, K. LOKANADHAN²

¹PG Scholar, Dept of EEE, S.V.C.E.T, Chittoor, AP, India.

²Associate Professor, Dept of EEE, S.V.C.E.T, Chittoor, AP, India.

Abstract: This paper presents the Design and Implementation of an energy management system (EMS) with fuzzy control for a dc- microgrid system. Modeling, investigation, and control of distributed power sources and energy storage devices with MATLAB/Simulink are proposed, and the integrated monitoring EMS is implemented with simulation. To improve the life Cycle of the Battery, fuzzy control manages the desired state of charge. The Fuzzy network has been designed to control the operating mode and to monitor the values of all subsystems in the dc microgrid system. The system comprises of a wind turbine, fuel cell, PV array, battery storage, and a set of loads. The energy management and power regulation system also controls the load scheduling operation during unfavorable wind conditions with inadequate energy storage in order to avoid a system black-out. Based on the reference dynamic operating points of the individual sub- systems, the local controllers control the wind turbine, fuel cell, and solar energy and battery storage units. The proposed control system is implemented in MATLAB Simpower software and tested for various wind and load conditions. Results are presented and discussed.

Keywords: Energy Management System (EMS), Fuzzy Control, Dc Microgrid.

I. INTRODUCTION

With increased awareness of the reduction of traditional energy sources and environmental damage caused in increased carbon dioxide emissions from coal-fired power generation, the use of renewable energy have become the goal for energy development. Current green energy used into power generation includes: solar, wind, geothermal, biomass, and tidal. Many countries have set a goal of increasing the usage of renewable energy above 20% of their total power consumption by the year 2020. In addition, distributed power systems are subject to the effects of environmental factors and restrictions of nature. A general power system uses battery energy storage to avoid a power outage or power surges caused by natural environmental factors. The recent trend of renewable energy development is a combination of distributed power sources and energy storage subsystems to form a small microgrid that can reduce loss of energy from power

transmission lines over long distances. Renewable energy is converted into dc and buffered with energy storage elements, and then it is inverted to ac and fed into the utility grid. This approach can readily adapt to existing electrical facilities and expedite use of renewable energy. However, existing high efficiency and compact appliances and equipment are powered by dc, which is converted by rectifying an ac source with power actor correction. To use renewable energy more efficiently, dc electricity should be directly supplied to these loads. Such a supply scheme is far different from that of the conventional ac distribution and supply system. A configuration of the dc-distributed system with grid connection is shown in Fig. 1, in which a bidirectional inverter is introduced to regulate dc-grid voltage within a certain range.




Fig.1. Configuration of the proposed dc microgrid system with EMS.

DC-distributed system were applied to data centers [4]– [14], which reduced power loss by around 7%, saved 33% of space, reduced facility investment by about 15% and increased reliability by about 200% [1]. Additionally, low voltage (24 V) ceiling-grid applications, especially for lighting, were also developed [15]– [19]. There are several research groups [12]– [13], which have extended the high voltage (380 V) dc-distribution system to drive home appliances, of which the

elegant power application research center (EPARC) has built a demonstration house for testing the overall system operation. In this paper, the system configuration including green power generator, energy storage element, dc appliance and equipment, and energy management system (EMS) with a fuzzy controller will be introduced. In the development of the green energy systems, a control method is required to optimize energy distribution of a microgrid system. Therefore, model construction is necessary for solar energy, wind power, and storage devices, such as lithiumion batteries, to simulate dynamic changes of the renewable energy for optimal energy distribution. Fig. 1 shows the dc microgrid system in this study, composed of solar power, wind power generation, lithium-ion battery, dc load, and ac/dc converter. The proposed EMS was commanded with RS-485/ZigBee network for data communication and delivery of energy distribution instructions.

The design concept of this study was to increase the useful life of lithium batteries and to include charge and over discharge protection mechanisms. As shown in Fig. 1, the system configuration includes five 380± 20 V major blocks: power generator, energy storage equipment, dc-bus regulator, dc load, and EMS. The power generator typically includes PV panels, wind turbines, and fuel cells. The fuel cells provide base power for the emergency loads when the system is operated during a power failure. Maximum power point trackers are associated with PV panels and wind turbines to draw maximum power, which is fed into the dc grid. The dc loads are connected to the dc grid and supplied from the grid directly. If there is power shortage, the bidirectional inverter will take power from the ac grid and it is operated in rectification mode with power factor correction to regulate the dc-grid voltage within a range of 380±20 V. If there is a power failure, the Li-ion battery will be first discharged to supply power for a short-time interval and if the failure lasts longer (e.g., 2 min), the fuel cell will start supplying power. Note that the battery discharger will be also responsible for dc-grid voltage regulation if the bidirectional inverter is not in operation. If the bidirectional inverter is in operation, the battery can be charged.

If there is residual power on the dc grid, the battery can be 380 ± 20 V. The overall system operation charged depending on its status, and/or the bidirectional inverter can be operated in grid-connection mode to sell power and regulate dc-grid voltage to will be monitored and controlled by the EMS, so each module in the system has to communicate with the EMS based on RS-485 or ZigBee communication protocol. The EMS will command the modules when to operate and collect operational status. However, in emergency situations, such as excessive current, voltage or temperature, the modules will protect themselves without a command from the EMS, but the modules still have to inform the EMS of their current status. The proposed fuzzy control is to optimize energy distribution and to set up battery state of charge (SOC) parameters. The control algorithm takes the priority of selling electricity as the premise of energy distribution to allow remaining power generated by the renewable energy of the electrical grid sold through the connected mains grid.

II. MODELING OF GREEN ENERGY COMPONENTS

To verify the accuracy of the designed controller, a dynamic model of the proposed microgrid system is necessary. The modeling of dc microgrid distributed energy and an energy storage component was mainly built by MATLAB simulink mathematical modules, based on equivalent circuits of the components. The following describes the model of each subsystem in detail.




Fig. 2. Solar panel equivalent circuit.

A. Modeling of Solar Cell

Solar panel equivalent circuit is shown in Fig. 2. Solar panel current equation can be expressed by (1)–(3)

$$I_{pv} = n_p I_{ph} - n_p I_{rs} \left[\exp \left(\frac{q}{kTA} \frac{V_{pv}}{n_s} \right) - 1 \right] \quad (1)$$

where V_{pv} is output voltage of solar panels, I_{pv} is output current of solar panels, n_s is number of solar panels in series, n_p is $1.38 \times 10^{23} \text{ J/K}$ surface temperature of the solar panels q is electron charge ($1.6 \times 10^{-19} \text{ C}$), A is surface temperature of the solar panels number of solar panels in parallel, k is the Boltzmann constant (ideality factor (1–2) (K), and I_{rs} is reverse saturation current.

$$I_{rs} = I_{rr} \left[\frac{T}{T_r} \right]^3 \exp \left(\frac{qE_g}{kA} \left(\frac{1}{T_r} - \frac{1}{T} \right) \right) \quad (2)$$

In (1), the characteristic of reverse saturation current I_{rs} varies with temperature, as expressed by (2)

$$I_{ph} = [I_{scr} + \alpha (T - T_r)] \frac{S}{100} \quad (3)$$

where T_r is the reference temperature of the solar panels (K), I_{rr} is reverse saturation current of the solar panels at temperature T_r (K), and E_g is energy band gap of the semiconductor material where I_{scr} is the short-circuit current at reference temperature T_r and illumination intensity 1 kW/m^2 , α is the short-circuit current temperature coefficient of the solar panels, and S is the illumination intensity (kW/m^2).

This study used Sharp NUS0E3E solar modules, each with a power rating of 180 W, as the photovoltaic device of the microgrid system. This study used a solar 5 kW power system, generated by two photovoltaic arrays in parallel, where each array was built with 14 solar panels in series. The simulated output power versus output voltage of the solar cell is shown in Fig. 3. This study used constant illumination intensity

Implementation of Energy Management System with Fuzzy Control for DC Microgrid Systems

1 kW/m² and constant temperature with varying V_{pv} for simulation verification.

B. Wind Turbine Modeling

The power generated by wind turbine is expressed as where P_W is power generated by the wind turbine W , ρ is density of gas in the atmosphere (kg/m³), A is cross-sectional area of a wind turbine blade m², V is wind velocity (m/sec), and C_p is the wind turbine energy conversion coefficient.

$$P_W = 0.5 \rho A V^3 C_p(\lambda, \theta) \quad (4)$$




Fig.3. Simulated output power P_{PV} versus output voltage V_{PV} of the solar cell with constant illumination intensity 1 kW/m².




Fig. 4. Simulated output power P_W with various wind speeds V .

The density of gas ρ and energy conversion coefficient C_p in (4) is expressed by (5) and (6), respectively

$$\rho = \left(\frac{353.05}{T} \right) \exp^{-0.034 \left(\frac{Z}{T} \right)} \quad (5)$$

$$C_p(\lambda, \theta) = \left(\frac{116}{\lambda_i} - 0.4 \theta - 5 \right) \cdot 0.5 \exp^{-\frac{16.5}{\lambda_i}} \quad (6)$$

where Z is the altitude, T is the atmospheric temperature, λ_i is the tip speed ratio, and θ is the blade tilt angle.

Equation (7) gives the expression of the tip speed ratio λ_i in (6) and (8) is the expression of the initial tip speed ratio λ in (7)

$$\lambda_i = \frac{1}{1/(\lambda + 0.089\theta) - 0.035/(\theta^3 + 1)} \quad (7)$$

$$\lambda = r \frac{\omega}{V} \quad (8)$$

The wind turbine used in this study was AWW-1500 of Gallant Precision Machining Company, Ltd. Wind speed is the most critical factor in wind power generation. This simulated output power P_W of the wind turbine with various wind speeds V is shown in Fig. 4.

C. Lithium-Ion Battery Modeling

Eq. (9) is the discharge equation and (10) the charge equation of the lithium-ion battery

$$f_1(it, i) = E_0 - K \cdot \frac{Q}{Q - it} \cdot i - K \cdot \frac{Q}{Q - it} + A \cdot \exp(-B \cdot it) \quad (9)$$

The wind turbine used in this study was AWW-1500 of Gallant Precision Machining Company, Ltd. Wind speed is the most critical factor in wind power generation. This simulated output power P_W of the wind turbine with various wind speeds V is shown in Fig. 4.

$$f_2(it, i) = E_0 - K \cdot \frac{Q}{it + 0.1 \cdot Q} \cdot i^2 - K \cdot \frac{Q}{Q - it} \cdot it + A \cdot \exp(-B \cdot it) \quad (10)$$

Where E_0 is initial voltage (V), K is polarization resistance (Ω), i^* is low-frequency dynamic current (A), i is battery current (A), it is the battery extraction capacity (Ah), Q is maximum battery capacity (Ah), A is exponential voltage (V), B is exponential capacity (Ah)⁻¹. SOC of the battery is an important factor, which is calculated by

$$SOC = 100 \left(1 - \frac{\int_0^t idt}{Q} \right) \quad (11)$$

This study simulated with constant discharge of 5 A for validation and observation of SOC variation. The results are shown in Fig. 5. The battery voltage is easy to measure and implement in the circuit. From the simulated results, we can see the nonlinearity between voltage and SOC of the Li-ion battery. Therefore, the SOC parameter of batteries has been selected as the design factor instead of battery voltage in this paper.

D. Fuel Cell Modeling

Fuel cells provide a high efficiency clean alternative to today's power generation technologies. The polymer electrolyte membrane (PEM) fuel cell has gained some acceptance in medium power commercial applications such as creating backup power, grid tied distributed generation, and electric

vehicles[1].The output voltage E of the PEM fuel cell is represented as

$$E = E_n - (-V_{act} + V_{ohm} + V_{con}) \quad (12)$$

where E_n is Nernst voltage, V_{act} is the activation over potential, V_{ohm} is ohmic over potential, and V_{con} is concentration over potential

$$V_{act} = -[\xi_1 + \xi_2 \cdot T + \xi_3 \cdot T \cdot \ln(CO_2) + \xi_4 \cdot T \cdot \ln(i_f)] \quad (13)$$

$$V_{ohm} = \frac{i_f \cdot R_M}{\lambda_1 - 0.634 - 3(i_f/A_f)} + 0.062(T/303)^2 (i_f/A_f)^{2.5} \quad (14)$$

$$V_{con} = \frac{l_1}{A_f} \quad (15)$$




Fig.6. Simulated voltage of the fuel cell with a constant discharge of 10 A.

$$V_{con} = -B_0 \cdot \ln \left(1 - \frac{J}{J_{max}} \right) \quad (16)$$

where T is operating absolute temperature, Co_2 is concentration of oxygen, i_f is output current of the fuel cell, $\xi_{1,2,3,4}$ are reference coefficients, l_1 is effective thickness of membrane, λ_1 is adjustable coefficient, A_f is effective area, B_0 is operating constant, J is current density, and J_{max} is maximum current density. The simulated output voltage with constant discharge of 10A is shown in Fig. 6.

III. INTELLIGENT ENERGY MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

As shown in Fig1, the system configuration of the proposed dc microgrid system includes five major blocks. To design an accurate controller of the proposed microsystem, the dynamic mathematical models of the power sources (PV, wind turbine, and fuel cell), dc/dc converters (buck-boost, buck, and phase-shifted full-bridge converters), bidirectional converter (sym-metrical full-bridge converter), and bidirectional inverter (full-bridge inverter) of the integrated micro-system are necessary. However, the modeling, analysis, and design of the proposed integrated dc microsystem are not simple. To maintain the battery SOC with EMS, the fuzzy controller is needed to meet design specifications, because the control for EMS is a low response component and the models of dc/dc converters, dc/ac converters of the micro-dc microgrid system

are unnecessary. Additionally, the dc microsystem is a nonlinear system and fuzzy logic can offer a practical way for designing nonlinear control systems. Fuzzy control theory is designed and implemented in EMS for the dc microgrid system to achieve the optimization of the system. The design criterion requires that both the photovoltaic device and the wind turbine are supplied by a maximum power point tracker to maintain the maximum operating point. The difference between actual load and total generated power is taken into account for Li-ion battery in charge and discharge modes. The life cycle and SOC of the battery are in direct proportion. To improve the life of the Li-ion battery, we can control and maintain the SOC of battery with fuzzy control.




Fig.7. Block diagram of fuzzy control to maintain the desired SOC of the battery.

A. Fuzzy Control

Fuzzy theory was first proposed in 1965 by Lotfi. A. Zadeh, an American scholar of automatic control, as a tool of quantitative expression for concepts that could not be clearly defined. A fuzzy control system is based on fuzzy-logic thinking in the design of how a controller works. The so-called fuzzy logic is to establish a buffer zone between the traditional zero and one, with logic segments of none-zero and none-one possible. It allows a wider and more flexible space in logic deduction for the expression of conceptual ideas and experience. A fuzzy controller differs from a traditional controller in that it employs a set of qualitative rules defined by semantic descriptions. The fuzzy controller is applied in the proposed microgrid power supply system, as shown in Fig7. To obtain the desired SOC value, the fuzzy controller is designed to be in charging mode or discharging mode for the proposed microgrid system. The input variables of the fuzzy control are ΔSOC and ΔP and output variable is ΔI . The definition of input and output variables are listed as follows:

$$\Delta SOC = SOC_{command} - SOC_{now} \quad (17)$$

$$\Delta P = P_L - (P_{pv} + P_{wind}) \quad (18)$$

The power difference ΔP is between required power for load and the total generated power of the microgrid. The fuel cells only provide base power for the emergency loads when the system fails. Therefore, the fuel cell is not considered as power source in (18). The generated power comes from solar power P_{pv} , wind turbine P_{wind} and power load P_L for the proposed system. The input and output membership functions of fuzzy control contain five grades: NB (negative big), NS (negative small), ZO (zero), PS (positive small),

Implementation of Energy Management System with Fuzzy Control for DC Microgrid Systems

and PB (positive big), as shown in Figs. 8 and 9. By input scaling factors K_1 and K_2 , we can determine the membership grade and substitute it into the fuzzy control rules to obtain the output current for charge and discharge variance ΔI of the Li-ion battery. If the ΔP is negative, it means that the renewable energy does not provide enough energy to the load. Thus, the battery must operate in charging mode; if the ΔSOC is negative, it means that the SOC of the battery is greater than the demand SOC. Thus, the battery must operate in discharge mode.





Fig.8. Input membership functions of variables: (a) ΔP and (b) ΔSOC .




Fig. 9. Output membership function of variable ΔI .

The control rules of this study prioritize selling additional electricity generated by the renewable energy in response to the present control strategy of microgrid development for selling electricity and increasing the life of Li-ion batteries. Table I shows the fuzzy rules of the proposed system. For example, the output variable ΔI is PB (the degree of discharging current is large) when the input variable ΔP is NB (the amount of electricity to sell is large) and input variable ΔSOC is NS (greater than the SOC command and the membership degree is small). However, the output variable ΔI is NS (the degree of charging current is small) when the input variable ΔP is NB (the amount of electricity to sell is large) and input variable ΔSOC is PS (smaller than the SOC command and the membership degree is small). The output variable is NS instead of NB when the system is operated in the above conditions because selling electricity is the first priority in this case. Thus, the fuzzy control table of the proposed dc microgrid system is not symmetrical. To extend the life of storage batteries in the design of fuzzy control, the fuzzy control rules are set to

maintain battery SOC above 50%. Moreover, in the fuzzy control rules the Li-ion battery is forced to discharge as the control strategy when power demand at load was greater than the power generated by the renewable energy.

B. Illustration Example

The dynamic model of the proposed dc microgrid system using MATLAB simulink is shown in Fig. 10, where the system

Table I: Fuzzy Control Rules

ΔI	ΔP					
	NB	NS	ZO	PS	PB	
ΔSOC	NB	PB	PB	PB	PB	PB
	NS	PB	PB	PS	PS	PB
	ZO	ZO	ZO	ZO	PS	PB
	PS	NS	NS	NS	NS	PB
	PB	NB	NB	NB	NB	PB




Fig.10. Dynamic model of the microgrid system using MATLAB simulink.




Fig.11. Simulation results with initial battery SOC at 10%.

consists of a 5 kW solar module, a 1.5 kW wind turbine module, a 1.5 kW Li-ion battery module, and a 6.5 kW load. This example verifies the accuracy of the proposed system with fuzzy controller that can maintain the SOC of the battery at a certain level whether initial value of the SOC is low

or high. As shown in Fig. 11, the fuzzy controller Li-ion battery SOC is maintained at 50% with an initial value of 10%. As shown in Fig. 12, the fuzzy controller Li-ion battery SOC is maintained at 50% with an initial value of 90%. To control strategy of this study is to sell electricity as a priority and to maintain battery SOC. Fig. 13 shows that the fuzzy controller forced the Li-ion battery to discharge when ΔP was greater than 5 kW to keep the system in power equilibrium without going over the power rating of the bidirectional inverter subsystem. However, the SOC of the battery is not the first priority to achieve the safety when the inverter is over the power rating.




Fig.12. Simulation result with initial battery SOC at 90%.




Fig.13. Simulation results when the bidirectional inverter rating is over the power rating.




Fig.14. Simulation results of the Power in PV Array.




Fig.15. Simulation results of the Power in Wind Turbine.




Fig.16. Simulation result of battery power at 90% SOC.




Fig.17. Simulation results battery current I_b waveform of the proposed dc microgrid system.

IV. IMPLEMENTATION OF EMS

The proposed fuzzy EMS using Matlab software was implemented to control and monitor the proposed dc microgrid system. The communication interface for each subsystem includes wireless ZigBee and RS-485. When receiving system commands from the fuzzy controller of EMS, each unit executed corresponding actions and replied with signals to EMS. The system converted the signals into monitoring data for display and database documentation in realization of an intelligent EMS. The energy management system used RS-

Implementation of Energy Management System with Fuzzy Control for DC Microgrid Systems

485 network topology for half-duplex network communication. The RS-485 network topology consists of RS-232 and UART of each unit to form the communication interface. Additionally, the ZigBee wireless communication was implemented with XBee module. A data frame in which the EMS sends commands to a subsystem and the subsystem replies to the system. With three power sources: solar cell, wind turbine, and fuel cell are connected, and implemented in DC micro-grid system with fuzzy control using simulation, as shown in Fig. 15. Fig. 16 shows the wind turbine and battery power simulation of the proposed dc microgrid system. Fig17 shows the simulation results of battery current I_b of the dc microgrid system with fuzzy control. From the measured results, we can see that the battery current is regulated by the fuzzy controller and the dc bus is regulated to 380 ± 20 V.

V. CONCLUSION

This paper presents the modeling, analysis, and design of fuzzy control to achieve optimization of an energy management system for a dc microgrid system. From the simulation results, the system achieves power equilibrium, and the battery SOC maintains the desired value for extension of battery life by using the control rules for a dc microgrid. The battery storage system is mainly used in this paper to improve transient stability of the system in the event of wind and load changes. The power flow of the battery storage system is controlled by using a bi-directional dc-dc converter. Additionally, the optimization rules can be included in the intelligent microgrid management system, and the system can conduct data communication and control operating status of subsystems via the RS-485/ZigBee network. The management system takes advantage of the design to control microgrid with power equilibrium, and achieves optimal control of the dc microgrid system.

VI. REFERENCES

- [1] H. Rongxian, L. Zhiwen, C. Yaoming, W. Fu, and R. Guoguang, "DC micro-grid simulation test platform, inProc. 9thTaiwan Power Electron. Conf., 2010, pp. 1361– 1366
- [2] S. Morozumi, "Micro-grid demonstration projects Japan," inProc. IEEE Power Convers. Conf., Apr. 2007, pp. 635– 64.
- [3] Y. Uno, G. Fujita, R. Yokoyama, M. Matubara, T. Toyoshima, and T. Tsukui, "Evaluation of microgrid supply and demand stability for different interconnections," inProc. Power Energy Conf., 2006, pp. 611– 616.
- [4] Experience in Developing and Promoting 400 V DC Datacenter Power, T. V. Aldridge, Director, Energy Systems Research Lab, Intel Corporate Technology Group, Green Building Power Forum, Jun. 2009.
- [5] Maximizing Overall Energy Efficiency in Data Centres, S. Lidstrom, CTO, Netpower Labs AB, Green Building Power Forum, Jun. 2009.
- [6] Renewable Energy & Data Centers, J. Pouchet, Director Energy Initiatives, Emerson Network Power., Green Building Power Forum, Jun. 2009.
- [7] Development of Higher Voltage Direct Current Power Feeding System in Data Centers, K. Asakura, NTT Energy/Environment, Green Building Power Forum, Dec. 2010.
- [8] Specifications for 400 V DC Power Supplies and Facility Equipment, D. Symanski, Sr. Program Manager, Electric Power Research Institute, Keiichi Hirose, NTT

Facilities, and Brian Fortenberry, Program Manager, Electric Power Research Institute, Green Building Power Forum, Jan.2010.

[9] Development of a DC Power Inlet Connector for 400 V DC IT Equipment, B. Davies, Director of Engineering, Anderson Power Products, Inc. Green Building Power Forum, Jan. 2010.

[10] Development of Socket-outlet Bar and Power Plug for 400 V Direct Current Feeding System, T. Yuba, R&D Manager, Fujitsu Components Ltd. Green Building Power Forum, Jan. 2010.

[11] Power Inlet Connector for 380 V DC Data Center, B. Davies, Anderson Power Products, Green Building Power Forum, Dec. 2010.

[12] Intel Lab's New Mexico Energy System Research Center 380 V DC Microgrid Testbed, G. Allee, Intel Labs, Green Building Power Forum, Dec. 2010.

[13] International Standardization of DC Power, K. Hirose, NTT Facilities, Green Building Power Forum, Dec. 2010.

[14] R. Simanjorang, H. Yamaguchi, H. Ohashi, K. Nakao, T. Ninomiya, S. Abe, M. Kaga, and A. Fukui, "High-efficiency high-power DC-DC converter for energy and space saving of power-supply system in a data center," inProcAppl. PowerElectron. Conf., 2011, pp. 600– 605.

[15] Direct Powered DC Lighting Technologies, J. Busch, Commercial Engineer, Osram Sylvania Inc. Green Building Power Forum, Jun. 2009.

[16] An Exploration of the Technical and Economic Feasibility of a Low-Powered DC Voltage Mains Power Supply in the Domestic Arena, M. C. Kinn, University of Manchester, Green Building Power Forum, Jun. 2009.

[17] DC Input Dimmable Fluorescent Ballast, T. Ribarich, Vice President, International Rectifier, Green Building Power Forum, Jun. 2009.

[18] Low-Voltage DC Interconnection, J. Akins, Director of Business Development, Tyco Electronics, Green Building Power Forum, Jan. 2010.

[19] Advantages of Low-Voltage DC Power in Commercial Building Interiors, B. Graham, President, Projects/Design & Construction Division of Johnson Control, Green Building Power Forum, Jan. 2010.

[20] DC Distribution and the Home of the Future, B. Fortenberry, Program Manager, Electric Power Research Institute, Green Building Power Forum, Jun. 2009.

Author's Profile:

Mr. P. Vinodhkumar has completed B.Tech in Electrical & Electronics Engineering in 2013 from Seshachala Institute of Technology, Puttur Affiliated to Jawaharlal Nehru Technological University (JNTUA), Anantapur, India. He is pursuing M.Tech in Power Electronic and Electrical Drives from Sri Venkateswara College of Engineering & Technology (Autonomous), Chittoor and Affiliated to Jawaharlal Nehru Technological University (JNTUA), Anantapur, India.

Mr.K.Lokanadham received Bachelor's Degree in Electrical & Electronics Engineering and Masters Degree in Computer Aided Power Systems from NBKR Institute of Science & Technology, Vidyanagar affiliated to S.V.University, Tirupati. He has total 12 years of experience in Teaching and in Industry. Previously he worked as Assistant Professor of EEE

P. VINODHKUMAR, K. LOKANADHAN

and Placement Officer in Mekapati Rajamohan Reddy Institute of Technology & Science, Udayagiri and Priyadarshini College of Engineering, Sullurupet. Currently he is working as Associate Professor, Dept. of EEE, Sri Venkateswara College of Engineering & Technology (Autonomous), Chittoor.